

# The Sunday Star.

## CONGRESS PUTTING VETO ON EXTRA SESSION NEED

Prospects Now Good That Appropriation  
Bills Will Be Out of Way  
by March 4.

BY A. O. MESSENGER.  
HOUSE LEADER MONDELL expects all of the appropriation bills to have passed the House by the middle of January, and, judging by the expedition with which the Senate has been acting upon those already sent over to that body, they are all likely to be in the President's hands before March 4 and the expiration of the Congress by constitutional limitation. The House leader at this time contemplates no exigency calculated to precipitate an extraordinary session of the new Congress in the spring.

As the cracking of thorns in the fire seems to have been the debate in the Senate over the suggested international economic conference, it remains to be seen whether sufficient heat was engendered to cause the pot of discussion in the country to boil. The administration threw cold water on the proposition as far as congressional action was concerned, but it is apprehended that some of the league of nations followers at large may have been warmed into revived expectation of seeing their ideals in part recognized. Of course, such happy anticipation is futile.

One lesson has been taught by the House and the Senate and that is the necessity in the future of closer cooperation between senators seeking to exercise recognized constitutional rights of the Senate to advise in foreign affairs with the executive branch, which has the right of initiative. In the future inquiries will probably be made at headquarters before Congress takes the first step.

What is the difference between the Senate proposition and the plan which the administration now suggests to deal with the foreign economic situation? may be asked.

The amendment proposed in the Senate, if effected, would have committed the United States to a treaty-making conference of unknown scope and of boundless possibilities, for the results of which the United States, being initiator of the scheme, would have been morally responsible.

The administration's plan contemplates a finding of facts by a tribunal of experts, suggesting means of settling the reparations question, through the voluntary acceptance by the powers concerned, without the United States being committed to participation in the enforcement of the award or being placed in the position of arbiter.

In the course of his speech at New Haven last Friday night, Secretary of State Hughes let drop a significant hint of the policy of the United States if a crisis arises in Europe over attempted forcible settlement of the reparations question, which, it is feared, France may in desperation undertake.

It would seem that in such event the United States would not go along with France, but would be found with England.

## Answers Charge That the Jews Are Not a Productive People

Speaking at a Jewish banquet in Hartford, Conn., recently, Samuel Untermyer of New York, Secretary of the often made and reiterated charge that the Jews are a non-productive people. He said, in part: "Palestine is being rapidly rebuilt through Jewish effort. Jewish enterprise, in innumerable forms of activity, but primarily and fundamentally through the Palestine Foundation Fund. What the Jews are doing at the present moment in Palestine is going to forever bury the slanders of the past. The Jews are a productive people. Their charge is a myth. And there are times and places, as there were and still are, when any considerable proportion of Jews were driven to the so-called non-productive occupations, it has been due to the oppression and bigotry of the very people who have exploited this libel against them."

"It has been due to the persistent denial of opportunity to engage in productive occupations, for which the Jews have suffered in various lands. For centuries they were not permitted to own or till the soil, and this is still true in some of the countries where bigotry and persecution yet flourish and where civilization is at a low ebb."

**Flood Opportunity Here.**  
"Fortunately this denial of opportunity does not exist in our own country. The charge of unproductivity against the Jews of America is false, reckless and contemptible and is prompted by racial and religious prejudice and bigotry. It is without excuse, but it cannot be ignored. It must be answered and put at rest. In this connection my attention has been called to a widely published report in the newspapers of a few days ago, quoting a high official of that strange and medieval eruption on the civic life of our beloved country, the Ku Klux Klan, in which the individual is quoted as having omitted the Jews from the list of unproductive people. He is reported as follows: "The Jew produces nothing, he is the enemy of the nation."

## Remarkable Railroad Showing at Year End Discloses Dawn of an Era of Prosperity

BY JULIUS H. PARMELEE,  
Director of the Bureau of Railway Economics.

AS the year 1922 draws to a close the railroads look back upon twelve months of hectic conditions. In particular, they experienced the first nation-wide strike of railway employees, and were seriously affected by the coal miners' strikes.

In spite of these industrial disturbances, the year ends with a much improved situation. Gross revenues have been greater than in 1921 by \$30,000,000, while operating expenses have been reduced nearly \$140,000,000. Taxes were the heaviest on record, being greater by \$20,000,000 than in 1921, and for the first time reaching \$300,000,000. Net operating income increased \$115,000,000, and represented a rate of return of 4.03 per cent on the railway value fixed by the Interstate Commerce Commission. In 1921 the rate was 3.3 per cent, while in 1920 it was a small fraction of 1 per cent.

How this improvement in financial position occurred in the face of serious labor troubles forms an interesting chapter in railway performance.

The coal strikes descended on the country simultaneously on April 1, and lasted for about five months. The railroads are vitally interested in coal, for they are its largest consumers and coal forms the largest single element in their freight traffic. More than a quarter of the coal mined each year is purchased and consumed by the railroads. More than a third of their freight tonnage represents coal. Anything that affects coal mining concerns them both as consumers and as transportation agencies.

In their capacity as consumers the railroads stored an unusual supply of coal last spring. This large supply on hand, together with the tonnage they were able to secure from mines that operated during the strike, enabled the roads to keep their trains running without serious difficulty, but they paid the price in a gradually mounting cost. From March to October the cost of their coal rose \$1.36 per ton, or 20.00 per cent, and the monthly railway consumption averaged about 11,000,000 tons, the strike increased their fuel bill by some \$15,000,000 per month.

In their capacity as transporters of coal the roads faced a more serious problem. In anticipation of the April strike, the movement of coal during the first three months of the year was unusually heavy. By the first of April the railroads had handled 442,000 more cars of revenue coal than during the same months of 1921. During the five months of the coal strikes, however, the movement was far below normal, less than 60 per cent of the tonnage moved in the same period of 1921. In these five months the railroads handled 1,416,000 fewer cars of revenue coal than in the same five months of the preceding year. They moved all the coal offered them, but because of decreased production there were at all times during the strike many coal cars lying idle for lack of available traffic. The surplus at

number of Jewish farmers in America would probably be much greater.

"What do the statistics of Jewish immigration reveal? From the period 1899 to 1910 almost 600,000 Jewish immigrants, whose occupations were classified, entered the country. Of these, 70 per cent were reported as being skilled and productive workers, and 11.8 per cent as unskilled laborers. In other words, about 82 per cent of the Jewish immigrants were productive workers of one kind or another."

"It so happens that the great majority of Jewish workers in this country are connected with or organized in trade unions, and it is therefore possible to secure reliable information as to the activity of the Jews in various industries."

"I have taken the trouble to inquire of the officers of some of these unions. What do I find? In the ladies' garments manufacturing lines alone there are employed approximately 175,000 Jews throughout the country, while in the men's clothing lines the number of Jews employed throughout the country is 200,000, thus making 375,000 Jews in these two needle trades alone. If other allied garment trades are considered, it would appear that at least 500,000 Jewish workers, or one-sixth of the entire Jewish population of the country, including men, women and children, are engaged in the needle industry alone. There are in this country about 600,000 Jewish heads of families. Compare this with the fact that half a million Jews are engaged in the needle industry alone, and decide for yourselves whether or not the Jews are producers. Practically the entire population of the United States is supplied with clothing by the Jews."

**In All Lines of Production.**  
"I have it on the authority of the same officials of the trade unions that in New York City alone, with its 1,500,000 of Jewish population, again including men, women and children, there are nearly half a million Jewish workers, embracing every line of productive employment, including, among many others, the building, metal and printing trades and the makers of bread and other foodstuffs."

"Incidentally, it may be added that the Jewish worker, though largely new-comer to America, has not only not reduced the American standard of living, but has been largely instrumental in many instances in initiating and maintaining a higher standard of living. I could go on multiplying instances and quoting figures to prove the assertion that the overwhelming majority of Jews in this country—I speak, of course, of the adult Jews—are engaged in hard work in the basic productive industries. But even without a statistical investigation, it is self-evident to the ordinary observer of life in our industrial centers that the predominant number of the Jewish population consists of factory workers."

one time was as great as 235,000 coal cars, and this during the summer months, when operating conditions are at their best.

Coal movement during the eight months to August 31 was thus 874,000 cars short of the corresponding movement in 1921. During the last four months of the year the railways not only handled the normal heavy fall movement of coal, but reduced the shortage of the first eight months to less than half what it was on September 1. Their performance was little short of remarkable.

If non-revenue coal movement be taken into account—that is, coal moved by the railways for their own use—the showing is even better. In the last four months they came close to making up the whole shortage of the first eight months.

\*\*\*\*\*

While still in the throes of the coal strikes another industrial disturbance descended on the railways in the shape of a strike of railway shompen, the first time any body of railway workers have quit work throughout the whole country. The prime factor underlying the strike was the 10 per cent reduction in shompen's wages ordered by the Railroad Labor Board for July 1. On that date the shompen generally threw down their tools with the expressed purpose of hampering railway operation and tying up transportation. How many men actually went out is not known, but perhaps 300,000 out of a possible 400,000 employed in the shops. According to the Interstate Commerce Commission, the number of shompen work on July 15 was 255,999 less than in the preceding month. Throughout the whole period of the strike new forces were being recruited, and striking employees were drifting back to work. Between July 15 and August 15 the number of shompen increased 79,000 and another 96,000 by September 15. On the latter date, with the railways short only 50,000 shompen, an informal agreement was reached, under which the men still out on some lines agreed to return to work. No general settlement was ever made, and the strike is technically effective today on a few lines. To all intents and purposes, however, the strike ended on September 15, the men accepted the lower basis of pay, against which they struck on July 1, and in some cases conceded also the seniority issue that became so important after the first weeks of the strike. That the men found their venture disastrous is clear. They lost \$100,000,000 in wages, and many of them lost their jobs permanently.

But the strike brought a considerable cost to the railways as well. New forces were recruited and trained at great expense, and their work could not from the first be fully effective. Many additional men were engaged as extra watchmen and guards. There were property losses from riots and from damage to the equipment was adversely affected, especially the motive power. This deferred maintenance is now being made up, the cost of which runs into the millions and is a direct result of the strike.

\*\*\*\*\*

The passenger business of 1922 was the smallest since 1916. A serious slump occurred in 1921, and a further decline of 6 per cent in 1922. The decline this year is traceable, in large degree, to the growing competition from motor cars, both private cars and commercial trucks. The decline in passenger business is unquestionable, yet in spite of it the railway passenger service has increased during the past three months.

It was the freight traffic, however, that gave

greatest encouragement. Although the freight rate level is now about 10 per cent below that of 1921 and 12 per cent below the peak of 1920, the increase in traffic in 1922 was sufficiently great to make the total freight revenue greater than in 1921 by nearly 10 per cent. Except for coal, every class of freight traffic showed a marked increase over 1921. The movement of grain and of merchandise goods broke all records. Live stock movement was 10 per cent greater than last year, forest products 17 per cent greater, and ore 70 per cent greater.

Especially marked was the improvement of the last four months of the year. Reference has already been made to the heavy movement of coal in those months. The freight tonnage of the period was greater than in the same four months of any previous year, even a 1920. November and December have made a remarkable showing, the combined tonnage of the two months being 30 per cent greater than for the corresponding months of 1921.

\*\*\*\*\*

The improved railway situation should continue well into the coming year. If nothing else, the traffic momentum of the last four months of 1922 will carry on into 1923. Coal movement will be heavy until April, for the shortage growing out of the coal strike has not yet been made up. The other heavy months show only partial signs of the usual slowing up at this season of the year. General business conditions are good. Building permits, which mean construction work for the next six or eight months, are being recorded in almost maximum volume. The bumper crops of 1922, now being marketed at generally higher prices than last year, mean a purchasing power for the farmer that is being translated into a heavy demand for manufactured products. Unemployment is reduced to a minimum. Everything points to continued prosperity in 1923.

All this will mean more traffic for the railways, barring unforeseen industrial disturbances, such as a renewal of the bituminous coal strike next April. Increased traffic will bring greater railway revenues. The traffic will be more efficiently handled, for the railways have this year ordered twice as many freight cars as in 1921 and twice as many locomotives.

Operating expenses may show some increase, although, on the other hand, the railways will hardly be forced to undergo another nation-wide strike, with its tremendous attendant costs. Railway labor's experience with such a strike in 1922 will make them wary of another such venture within the next twelve months.

Net railway income should show a further increase in 1923. It should, in fact, be as great as 5 per cent above the year-end figure of 1922. In this connection it should be borne in mind that the Interstate Commerce Commission, in fixing the present levels of freight and passenger rates, expressed the hope that the railways would, under those rates, earn not less than 5 1/2 per cent, which is the "fair return" fixed by the commission under the terms of the transportation act. The railways have never earned that return since the act became law. In 1920 they earned a fraction of 1 per cent. In 1921, 3.3 per cent, and in 1922, 4.05 per cent. It is now time for their earnings to exceed 5 per cent to come, indeed, within sight of the goal of 5 1/2 per cent. With more efficient operation of 1922 to hearten them, and with the promise of continued improvement, the possibility of reaching that goal in 1923 has become something more than a pleasant dream.

\*\*\*\*\*

Without public protest is about over. When they raided the mint the day, the guards made a fuss about it. In 1921 the guards would have given the bandits three cheers! The packers did not get their merger sanctioned without a struggle. Last year the success of the merger would have been made a subject for national prayers.

And so the old year passes, and we go into the new with our nerves serene and our eyes open. Which is a wonderful condition when you stop to think of all we have had to stand for the last ten years.

**The Glad New Year.**  
What a glad New Year it will be for your Uncle Sam. With his creditors burning the backs of his ears with their hot, indignant breath, and with his debtors fading into the horizon with the smoke of the war path and Johnson running for President, with the near east crumbling into barbarism, and middle Europe slumping to decay, with the Irish Free State outdoing the tyrannies of England, leaving no one for the Irish to hate, with Great Britain about to lose India and France about to lose her head, with burglars, bandits and hoodlums chasing the minions of the law under the bed, and the honest buyers smashing the federal Constitution to bits, the most comfort Uncle Sam can get out of it is the sweetest solemn thought that, being the oldest government now on earth, operating under the same constitution, it will be his turn soon.

So let's wish him a happy New Year, then duck and run.

**Borah and the Grass Roots.**  
SENATOR Borah's demand for an international conference upon economic problems took courage back of his wisdom. A man who seems to break with his tradition has to battle with gnat-brained men whose God is consistency. Borah, seeing the need of bolstering a crumbling world, not for the world's sake, but for our sake, did only what Borah would naturally be expected to do. He never has been an opportunist. He has followed his convictions with out fear. And the interesting and curious thing about his change is that it has come from the times. The need of an economic conference, the need of restoring Europe in order to preserve the European market for American farmers is a conviction that has forced itself inexorably into every intelligent mind.

Borah keeps his eye on the grass

roots. But he listens to the grass roots, not for guidance in casting the next ballot in the Senate, but for useful information. He has found out that the people want the conference, possibly they do not want it—but that they need it. So Borah is for it. He is that kind of a statesman, Borah has that rare mental gift—the gorgeous independence of a man with an open mind.

**The Return of Arbuckle.**  
POLITICALLY, the gesture with which Will Hays announced the return of Roscoe Arbuckle to the films was a mistake. The return of Arbuckle has nothing to do with his banishment. Whether this retirement was wise or not it was achieved and his return merely after a short and simple sentence was rather below the high grade of A-1 acumen which Mr. Hays has been producing for the past ten years. If Arbuckle was banished for cause, his banishment was too short. If the cause of his banishment was not real but imaginary, then he should have come back with an apology from those who banished him. If, on the other hand, he had been banished for a picture which the sentiment which justified the banishment of Arbuckle from the films has subsidized, the head of the motion picture industry has made the mistake of his young and innocent life.

Arbuckle had nothing coming to him except an apology or a longer sentence.

**What Hays Means.**  
THE evidence in the Hays massacre indicated that the town of Hays, which seems to be an average American community, not at all dominated by the foreign-born, went to the strip mine last summer with a cold-blooded decision to slaughter the strikebreakers. The town folk did wholesale, cowardly murder and probably tortured some of their victims. The union miners seem to have led, but they had the sympathy and support of their fellow citizens. The evidence in court brings out with terrible stiltedness the contemporary story of the reporters.

It may be well to consider this butchery as something more than an outbreak of angry men. It may be well to ask why the men got angry? Why they believed themselves justified in brutal slaughter of their fellow creatures. Why the town should have been so easily misled by them. The men who were killed were only exercising their constitutional right to work; why were they treated worse than beasts? Americans are not given to bestial orgies like that at Hays without some cause, however weak it may be. What was the deep significance of the action of these murderers?

We are facing here a changed attitude among workers and their sympathizers to our economic order. The workers and the town they lived in

(Continued on Third Page.)

## PRESIDENT AND CABINET REACHED TOP BY WORK

Herbert Hoover's Book Brings Out  
Fact That Only Two Had Economic Inheritances.

BY BEN MCKELWAY.  
ORATIO ALGER, Jr., looking for good material, might pause at a paragraph in Herbert Hoover's new book, "American Individualism," which reads: "That our system has avoided the establishment and domination of class has a significant proof in the present administration in Washington. Of the twelve men comprising the President, Vice President and cabinet, nine have earned their own way with economic inheritance."

As a matter of fact, Mr. Hoover might have put it the other way around, "Only two have earned their own way with economic inheritance," for it takes only a hasty survey of the biographies of the present cabinet members to show that, with the exception of Secretaries Mellon and Denby, the rest started life "on their own," and, with the exception of Secretary Hughes of the State Department, all began with manual labor.

**How They Got Start.**  
President Harding started as a printer's devil. Secretary Hughes was the son of a Baptist preacher in a small town. Vice President Coolidge and Secretaries Weeks and Wallace, Attorney General Daugherty and Postmaster General Work were the sons of struggling farmers and all of them worked as farmhands. Secretary Hoover received his early education as a blacksmith, although his father was an educated man. Secretary Fall, son of a Confederate soldier, was a pioneer and served as a cowboy and prospector in the west when it was truly wild. Secretary Davis, erstwhile iron puddler, was the son of a poor Welsh immigrant who had experience with democratic America was to be robbed of virtually all his hard-earned worldly goods.

Mr. Harding's rise from a printer's "devil" to the White House has been advertised far and wide, and continues to be, so that the majority of newspaper readers are more or less familiar with his career. Secretary Davis is another whose picturesque biography is rather well known. But Mr. Hoover probably is the first to cite proof of opportunity in a democracy by pointing to the present cabinet, which has been termed by its less informed critics a "rich man's cabinet."

**Worked Despite Inheritance.**  
Nor does the fact that Secretary Mellon and Secretary Hughes were born with economic inheritances detract from the worth of what both have accomplished in life. It only proves that they, too, possess the "stuff" which has enabled them to double the talents with which they were endowed at birth. Mr. Mellon was the son of a Pittsburgh judge, who, upon his retirement from the bench, established the banking house of T. Mellon & Sons. Upon the present secretary's graduation from the University of Pittsburgh, he entered his father's banking house, becoming a partner a year later. He has since become one of the world's richest men.

Secretary Denby, the other cabinet member who was given something of a start in life by the fortune which favored his father, was the son of a former United States minister to China. He spent part of his youth in China, receiving his schooling at the University of Michigan and then, as a profession a lawyer. He has made a fortune in the automobile industry.

But as for the rest of them, those who have literally risen "from rags to riches"—though they do not all appear their wealth in terms of dollars and cents—a brief glance at their biographies is sufficient to show that their imaginations should be allowed to do the rest.

**Coolidge Early in Politics.**  
Vice President Coolidge was born and spent most of his youth on a Vermont farm. He graduated from Amherst College at the age of twenty-three and after a law course began his practice in Northampton, Mass. He entered politics early in life, serving as city solicitor, mayor, a member in the state house, and representative in the Senate.

Mr. Coolidge is not a rich man, and his friends recall that while he was governor of Massachusetts his executive mansion consisted of one room in a small Boston hotel.

Secretary of State Charles E. Hughes, son of a Baptist minister, was born in Glens Falls, N. Y., and shortly after his birth his family moved to Newark, N. J., where Mr. Hughes attended public school until he was eleven years old. His family then moved to New York City, and in 1875 Mr. Hughes graduated from the city public schools as salutatorian of his class. His oration was considered a remarkable piece of work for a lad of thirteen. He graduated from Brown University with honors at the age of nineteen. He studied law at Columbia, completing his course at the age of twenty-three, and beginning work in his profession as a clerk in a law office. Secretary Hughes first attracted public attention through his work as special examiner for the renowned Armstrong committee of the New York state legislature, and the subsequent insurance scandals of 1905. His service as Governor of New York, his appointment to the Supreme Bench, his nomination for President of the United States and the prominent part he has taken in President Harding's cabinet are well known.

**Started on the Farm.**  
John Wingate Weeks, Secretary of War, is the biggest man, physically, in the cabinet. He began life as a farmer boy on his father's farm near Lancaster, N. H., and taught school

(Continued on Third Page.)

at the age of sixteen. He secured an appointment to Annapolis the following year, graduating in 1881. His naval career was short. Three years after his graduation he was mustered out of the service because of lack of ships. He went to Florida, worked as a civil engineer, and during the Spanish-American war served his country as an officer in a volunteer naval brigade.

He entered politics and business—as banker—at about the same time, and in 1895 was elected a representative in the Fifty-ninth Congress. His service in Congress, as representative and as senator, terminated shortly before his appointment as Secretary of War. Mr. Weeks is the first graduate of the Naval Academy to direct the destinies of the Army, but even his most severe critics—and Army officers are always the most severe critics of the Secretary of War—admit his efficiency. There is no more popular cabinet member with the men on "the hill" than Mr. Weeks.

**Daugherty Always a Scrapper.**  
Harry M. Daugherty, who likes a scotch and smokes a pipe at the Gridiron diners, is another farmer boy. He has been used to hard work—and fights—all his life. It has been written of him: "Count that day lost whose low descending sun and evening newspaper does not bear testimony to Mr. Daugherty being in the public mind about something, somehow, for things done or not done, said or not said about him. He is the biggest individual power in the Washington regime, and the object of more bitter criticism and vituperative attack than any one figure in Washington, from democrats, from labor, even from some republicans, but who drive it."

Harry Daugherty was left an orphan by his father's death when he was four years old. He had nothing to start on. He got his schooling by working as a farmhand in summer and a grocery clerk at night during the winter, and about himself, he could say: "I saved enough money to send myself through law school at the University of Michigan, moving to Columbus, Ohio, soon after his graduation, where he became a leader in state politics and a lawyer of distinction."

Albert B. Fall, Secretary of the Interior, comes from northern New Mexico. His home is there—a ranch, which he won as a pioneer in days when "what you got was yours—so long as you could keep it." The son of a Confederate soldier, Secretary Fall worked his way through school in Kentucky and saved enough money to pay his way to the Mexican border. Cowboy, farmer, miner, prospector, school teacher and finally rancher, he studied law in between times, taking a prominent part in the development of his state. He has not always been a public officer, and one of the first public offices he held was through an appointment to the position of associate justice of the New Mexico supreme court. He has been one of the President's closest friends during the time he served in the Senate and as a member of his cabinet.

**Read Himself Through School.**  
Herbert Clark Hoover, whose name means more abroad than George Washington or perhaps any other American, was the son of a village blacksmith and mechanic, a man of education, who conducted a small repair shop in West Branch, Iowa. His mother, a Quaker, was a "woman of rare capacity, shy and reserved, but with personal color and attractiveness, a recognized preacher at Quaker meetings." He lost both parents at an early age, and, after being cared for by kind relatives, undertook at the age of fourteen to support himself. He got a job in Portland, and in a curio and bookstore, by the light of a lamp on the floor, read himself through a high school course, which gave him the necessary preparation to enter Leland Stanford in the pioneer class of that now famous university.

He specialized in engineering and during the summer worked on geological surveys in California. One of his companions once spoke of "Hoover's luck."

"What do you mean?" asked the boss, "by talking about Hoover's luck? It's not luck; it's reward. If you would work half as hard and half as intelligently as he does, you would have half his luck. If I tell one of you others to do something I have to come around in an hour to see if you have followed my instructions, but when I tell Hoover about anything I know it will be well done. And he doesn't ask me how, either. If I directed him tomorrow to go to Kamchatka for a walrus tooth, he never hear of him until he got back with the trophy. Then I'd ask him how he did it."

Mr. Hoover's work as an engineer has taken him to nearly every part of the civilized world. In mining and railroad engineering he has been in England, Australia, China, Italy, India, South Africa and Russia. He was a noted engineer when the war broke, and his work as food administrator has made his name known throughout the world.

**Worked Hard for Education.**  
Henry C. Wallace, Secretary of Agriculture, started life as a farmer boy and has been identified with farming ever since. He was born in Rock Island, Ill., and worked hard for his education through preparatory school and college. A short time after entering the Iowa State Agricultural College his course there was broken up by the withdrawal of one of his father's tenants, necessitating his return to the farm. He worked in the tenant's place for five years before he was able to go back to college, which he did, graduating in 1892. Soon after his graduation he founded

(Continued on Third Page.)